

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF MINES
INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES
Office of the Regional Controller of Mines

No. AP/ANP/MP/Lst-37/Hyd

Room No.603, 6th Floor,
CGO Towers,Kavadiguda,
Secunderabad.-50008

To
Shri. I. Sriramulu, Managing Partner
M/s. Andhra Mineral Industries,
H.NO. 9-19/4,Gandhinagar,
Dhone (P.O)
Dist. Kurnool, A.P.

Date: S-5-17

Sub: Draft modification of Mining Plan in respect of Nagarur Limestone Mine of M/s Andhra Mineral Industries over an extent of 3.286 ha. in Nagarur Village, Yadiki Mandal, Anantapur dist., A.P. submitted under Rule 17(3) of MCR, 2016.

Ref: Your letter no. Nil dated 18.04.2017

Sir,

With reference to your letter cited above on the subject, the site inspection was carried out on 27.04.2017 by Shri Manish Mandiratta, DCOM accompanied by Shri V.Ankanna, QP,Shri I.Sriramulu and Shri I.Chandrashekhara (S/O. Shri I.Sriramulu) the draft modification of Mining Plan has since been examined and found certain deficiencies as given in Annexure. The same scrutiny comments have already been forwarded on e mail id of your Qualified Person as submitted in the document. vasagiri Ankanna@gmail.com

02. You are advised to attend the above deficiencies as per the annexure and resubmit the document complete in all respect in three bound copies within 15 (fifteen) days from the date of issue of this letter, failing which the document will be disposed without giving any further opportunity.

03. The para-wise clarification & the manner in which the deficiencies are attended should be given while forwarding modified document.

Yours faithfully,


(Pankaj Kulsreshtha)
Regional Controller of Mines

35/10

Copy to :Sri Ankanna, Q.P


(Pankaj Kulsreshtha)
Regional Controller of Mines

मूल प्रति पर नहीं
खान नियंत्रक (द), भारतीय खान ब्यूरो, बेंगलुरु।


(पंकज कुलश्रेष्ठा)
क्षेत्रीय खान नियंत्रक

Inspection report cum scrutiny comment on the Modified mining Plan (3.286 hectare) submitted by M/s Andhra Mineral Industries for it's Nagarur Limestone and Dolomite Mine located in village Nagarur, Yadiki Mandal, District Anantpur after field inspection dated 27.04.2017.

1. On page 1 it has been submitted that the Modified Mining plan has been submitted for the period 2017-18 and 2018-19 as per CCOM Circular dated 12.06.2015. But it has not obtained the letter from the State Government clarifying the status of extension of lease. Further, the lessee has submitted the proposal for increase in production which has not been submitted as the reason for modification in Mining plan. In light of the above , reason for modification in Mining Plan need to be correctly submitted as both the above points and also submit the order of State Government. The Introduction and para 3.6 regarding reason for modification therefore be suitably justified and corrected at all the places in the document.
2. MCDR 88 and MCR 1960 has been amended so the rule under which the document has been submitted need to be corrected.
3. The clear and legible copy of the address and identity proof be enclosed, preferably the copy of the aadhar card.

General

4. Andhra Mineral Industry is submitted to be a registered partnership firm . So the copy of registration certificate be enclosed along with the complete copy of the partnership deed.
5. Identity card showing clear photo, preferably Aadhar Card of the Nominated owner be enclosed.
6. In para 1.0(f), reference of RQP or RQP number from the document be removed, as there is no provision of RQP in the rules now.
Location and accessibility
7. In the point regarding, date of grant of lease unnecessary information be removed.

Review of Earlier approved proposal.

8. The review of production figures for the year 2015-16 and 2016-17 are submitted incorrectly. The copies of Annual return for 2009-10 and 2010-11 be submitted.
9. The review of afforestation need to be corrected as it has been observed that the survival rate is extremely poor. The reason there of and the rectification action be proposed.
10. Review of top soil need to be corrected.
Geology and Exploration:
11. On page 12 the lithological sequence in the first table is incorrect and hence be corrected. The ore body is showing dip of 12degree but in the sections it has been shown as flat body, hence be corrected.

12. On page 13 It is incorrectly mentioned that there were no proposal of exploration. Correction required.
 13. Geological sections be corrected to show the delineation of ore body and it's dip as observed in the field.
 14. On surface plan, date of survey be mentioned .
 15. Incorrect information has been submitted regarding the expenditure incurred in prospecting information which need to be corrected.
 16. In the entire document , the word Dolomite be replaced as Dolomitic rock .
 17. In the Geological Plan, the Geological mapping has not been done in the entire lease area, which needs to be corrected. Sections need to be corrected accordingly.
 18. G1 area has not been marked correctly. TP-2 is also a part of G1 level of exploration.
 19. The back up calculation of the reserves be enclosed in annexure the overburden and Interburden likely to be encountered be assessed and enclosed in annexure.
 20. Feasibility resource need to be assessed and coded correctly. 221 and 334 need to be assessed.
 21. The sections should show the unfc code correctly.
 22. In light of the comments on Geology and Reserves , the reserve and resource collation need to be submitted correctly.
 23. In the justification regarding F axis submit under relevant head the clearances that the lessee is having and that are required for getting the production from mines.
- Mining
24. It has been observed that the high wall has been created of about 10m which is not as per proposal. Hence, the proposal of working be given for reducing the bench height for systematic working of the mine. Between Boundary pillar K and L be reduced for manual working and mining proposal be given accordingly.
 25. The Overburden interburden rock be assessed separately as per new format for yearwise production. The sections need to be corrected accordingly.
 26. As per the submission in the document ICB has been proposed, accordingly the category of the mine be corrected as Category A and FA should be submitted accordingly.
 27. The production plan and sections be corrected to show the benches, their advancement and pit road layout. The existing ramp may be used for development of benches.

28. In the backup calculation for yearwise production, interburden has not been assessed. The same should be submitted in Annexure instead of text.
29. Dump rehandling be corrected as NIL.
30. Conceptual plan need to be corrected in light of the above comments. The conceptual exploration need to be discussed correctly. Conceptual waste generation be discussed. Also discuss the conceptual status of the lease at the end of lease period. Conceptual land use pattern too has not been discussed.

Stacking of mineral reject/ waste.

31. In para 4.0(a), submit the reason for not assessing the generation of Dolomitic waste.
32. In the progressive Mine closure Plan, the proposal be given for environment monitoring as per IBM/MoEF Guidelines.
33. If due to aforesaid changes, the data in other chapter or plates changes, they may please be done accordingly and ensure the consistency of the data submitted in various chapters of the document.

