



Government of India  
Ministry of Mines  
Indian Bureau of Mines  
Office of the Regional Controller of Mines, Jabalpur

File No.: MP/Katni/Lst-240 **6048-6050**

Jabalpur, Dated: 23.09.2021

To,

**Shri.Triloki Nath Agarwal,**  
**Ganesh Chowk, Civil Lines,**  
**Katni (M.P.)-483501.**

**Sub.:** Violation of provisions of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 2017, in respect to your **Nanhwara limestone Mine (32.48 Ha), Mine code- 38MPR47100 in Katni District of (M.P.).**

Sir,

Following provisions of MCDR 2017 were found violated in your above mine during the inspection by the Undersigned on **14.09.2021** in the presence of Shri. **Ritesh Agarwal** (lessee's representative):

Rule No	Nature of violation observed in detail
11(1)	No holder of a mining lease shall commence or carry out mining operations in any area except in accordance with the mining plan approved, modified or reviewed by the Indian Bureau of Mines or prepared and certified in accordance with the system established by the State Government. <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Only 52380 tonnes of ROM limestone is produced against the proposal of 69838 tonnes.</li><li>• Only 13950 m<sup>3</sup> of OB is generated against the proposal of 17103 m<sup>3</sup> distorting the stripping ratio.</li><li>• Only 2800 m<sup>3</sup> of topsoil is generated against the proposal of 13800 m<sup>3</sup> .</li></ul> The overall excavation is lagging and not as per the proposals in the approved document.
26(2)	Yearly PMCP report has not been submitted for the year 2020-21.
45(5)(b)	Monthly return for the month of August 2021 was not submitted.
45(7)	The following deficiencies were observed in the Annual Return submitted for the years 2020-21: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>i. Part – IV: The details of OB removed was not submitted.</li><li>ii. Part – V, S.No 4.2(c): The details of Overburden/Waste removed during the year and backfilled were not provided.</li><li>iii. The details of taxes furnished in cost per tonne calculation in Part-VII are not matching with the amount submitted in the Part-III, Sl.No.5.</li><li>iv. In the cost per tonne calculation, the details of overhead cost is not matching with the amount submitted in the Part-III, Sl.No.6.</li><li>v. Depreciation cost considered for the cost per tonne calculation in Part-VII are not matching with the depreciation details furnished in Part-II A &amp; Part-III Sl.No.4.</li></ul>
54	Labour attendance register, production and dispatch register and royalty assessment register were not made available during inspection.
55(1)(c)(i)	Information regarding full time Mining Engineer appointed in the ML has not been submitted in the office

1. In this connection, it is brought to your notice that the above violations constitute an offence punishable under Rule 62 of Mineral Conservation and Development Rules, 2017.
2. The mining operations can be suspended under the rule 11(2) of MCDR-2017, if compliance of rule 11(1) of MCDR-2017 is not found satisfactory.
3. You are advised to rectify the violations of abovementioned rules immediately and intimate the position to this office within 45 (Forty-Five) days from the date of issue of this letter.

O/c

Yours faithfully

  
23/09/2021


(Robert Simon C)

Asst. Controller of Mines,  
IBM, Jabalpur Region.

Copy to:

- 1) The Controller of Mines (CZ), IBM, Nagpur.
- 2) The Director, Directorate of Geology & Mining, Khanij Bhavan, Arera Hills, Bhopal (MP).

O/c

  
23/09/2021

(Robert Simon C)

Asst. Controller of Mines,  
IBM, Jabalpur Region.

~~GRN~~  
~~les~~