

MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

1.0 GENERAL

| SN | Particulars | Details |
|----|--|---|
| 1 | Name of the Mine | Kovaya Limestone Mines |
| 2 | Total Lease Area (Ha) with breakup of Non-forest and forest land | 953.3253 Ha. (No forest land falls within Lease area) |
| 3 | Mine code | 38GUJ02009 |
| 4 | IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDR, 1988 | IBM/441/2011 |
| 5 | Name of the lessee, Address, phone, email and fax number | M/s UltraTech Cement Limited, Unit: Gujarat Cement Works Village – Kovaya, Taluka – Rajula, Dist. – Amreli. Pin-365541 |
| 6 | Village | Kovaya |
| 7 | Taluka/Mandal | Rajula |
| 8 | District | Amreli |
| 9 | Pin code | 365541 |
| 10 | State | Gujarat |
| 11 | Post office | Kovaya |
| 12 | Nearest police station | Marine Police Station, Pipava |
| 13 | Nearest Railway station | Rajula Jn. |
| 14 | Date of Grant of Mining Lease | 07.06.1995 |
| 15 | Date of Execution | 01.03.1996 (Valid up to 28.02.2046 i.e. 50 years) |
| 16 | Date of opening of Mine | 01.03.1996 |
| 17 | Date of first Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry | As per MMDR Amendment Act-2015, lease period extended for 50 years vide Order No. MCR-102016 –ML–20.CHH.1, dated 12/04/2016 by Govt. of Gujarat. Lease expires on 28.02.2046. |
| 18 | Date of second Renewal, if applicable and its period & expiry | Not applicable |
| 19 | Date of submission of renewal application if Mining Operations are continuing under deemed extension | Not applicable |
| 20 | Name of the Nominated Owner with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment | Nominated Owner – Mr. K. C. Jhanwar, Ahura Centre, A-Wing, 1 st Floor, Mahakali Caves Road, Andheri (E), Mumbai. Pin- 400093 Telephone - 022-66917421 Email- ajit.ostwal@adityabirla.com Date of Appointment – 19.10.2018 |
| 21 | Name of the Mine Agent with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment | Mr. Dinkar Dashora, M/s UltraTech Cement Limited, Unit: Gujarat Cement Works, Village – Kovaya, Taluka – Rajula, Dist. – Amreli. Pin-365541 Mobile – +91 9904301901 Email- dinkar.dashora@adityabirla.com Date of Appointment – 17.02.2023 |
| 22 | Name of the Mines Manager with Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment in mines | Mr. Rajesh Thakare, M/s UltraTech Cement Limited Unit: Gujarat Cement Works Village – Kovaya, Taluka – Rajula, Dist. – Amreli. Pin-365541 Mobile – +91 9887048180 Email- rajesh.thakare@adityabirla.com Date of Appointment – 05.07.2021 |
| 23 | Name of the Mining Engineer, Qualification and total experience with | Mr. Puspendra Singh Rao Mining Engineer, Exp.: 6 years |

1/20566/2023

| | | |
|----|---|--|
| | Address, phone, email, fax number and date of appointment in mine | M/s UltraTech Cement Limited Unit: Gujarat Cement Works Village – Kovaya, Taluka – Rajula, Dist. – Amreli. Pin-365541 Mobile – +91 6357103578 Email- Pushpendra.rao@adityabirla.com Date of Appointment – 10.03.2023 |
| 24 | Whether Geologist and Mining Engineer appointed in mines satisfy the rule 42 & carrying out their duties as per rule 43 & 44. | Yes Appointed. Mr. Shiv Kumar Gupta. , M.Tech Geology appointed as a Geologist on 08.12.2022 Mr. Puspendra Singh Rao, appointed as a Mining engineer on 10.03.2023. |
| 25 | Date of Approval of Mining Plan/Modified Mining Plan with five-year period and specific condition in approval letter, if any. | Mining Plan approved vide No. 684(4)(1)/MP-310/2020-21-GNR, dated 12/01/2021 Period from 2022-23to 2025-2026 |
| 26 | Date of Approval of Scheme of Mining/Modified Scheme of Mining with five-year period and specific condition in approval letter, if any. | Modified Mining Plan of Kovaya Limestone Mine are prepared for the period 2023-24 to 2025-26 for permission for working at Common Boundary with Narmada Limestone Mine |
| 27 | Mineral(s) granted in lease and proved for mining | Limestone & Marl |
| 28 | Method of Mining(Open cast, Underground) | Open cast Mining |
| 29 | Category (Fully Mechanised, Others or Manual) | Fully Mechanised |
| 30 | Captive/ Non-Captive | Captive Use |

Scientific Mining: Compliance of proposals of approved mining plan/scheme of mining. –

Exploration

| SN | Item | Proposals (2022-23) | Actual work (2022-23) | Remarks |
|----|---|--|---|---|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | Nil | Nil | G1 Level Exploration has been completed within Mining Lease area and 98 Hectare area is under falling of CRZ. |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for Geological axis 1 or 2. | No exploration proposed in the year 2022-23 | All 37 Borehole done as per 5 years planning | As per company requirement all Proposed borehole Done as per Mining Plan. |
| 1c | Exploration Agency & Expenditure in lakh Rupees during the year | No exploration proposed in the year 2022-23 | All 37 Borehole done as per 5 years planning | Exploration completed in the year 2021-22 as per the proposal of mining Plan through M/s Vinayak Engimech Pvt.Ltd., Ahmedabad. Expenditure was Rs. 14.10 lakhs. |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2. As per MP | As per Mining plan as on 01.04.2016 G1 – 698.56 Ha. G2 – 60.24 Ha. G3 – 194.525 Ha. | Exploration Status as on 01.04.2023 G1– 855.325Ha. G2 – 0.0 Ha. G3 –98.0 Ha. | 98 ha area is falling under CRZ. |
| 1e | Balance reserves as on 01.04.2023(million tonnes). As per MP. | As per Mining plan reserves & resources as on 01.12.2020 in million tonnes 111 – 132.61 121&122 – 6.37 | Balance reserves & resources as on 01.04.2023 in million tonnes 111 – 124.85 121&122 – 6.37 | Reserves/Resources re-estimated as on 01.12.2020 for the review of Mining Plan for the period 2021-2026. The block model |

1/20566/2023

| | | | | |
|----|--|---|---|--|
| | | 211 – 47.36 221/222 – 19.43 333 – 50.27 | 211 – 47.36 221/222 – 19.43 333 – 50.27 | update is under progress & reserves will be updated accordingly. |
| 1f | General remarks of inspecting officer on geology, exploration etc. | G1 Level Exploration has been completed within Mining Lease area and 98 Hectare area is under falling of CRZ. | | |

2.0 Development

| SN | Item | Proposals (2022-23) | Actual work(2022-23) | Remarks |
|----|---|--|---|---|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t. lease area | SM Pits <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SM Pit N, • SM Pit M, • SM Pit T, • SM Pit S, • SM Pit S2, • SM Pit BHK, Pit 2 (Conv) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bench 3, • Bench 4&5, • Bench 5S, • Bench 6, • Bench 6S, • Bench 7, Pit 1 (Conv) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pit J, • Bench 3 • Bench 4 | SM Pits <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SM Pit M, • SM Pit T, • SM Pit S, • SM Pit S2, Pit 2 (Conv) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bench 3, • Bench 4&5, • Bench 5S, • Bench 6, • Bench 7, Pit 1 (Conv) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pit J, • Bench 3 • Bench 4 | Production impacted due to restoration of Jetty (Tauktae) which affected despatches thus affecting Mine Production. |
| 2b | Separate benches in topsoil, overburden, and mineral (Rule 15) | Separate benches to be maintained in topsoil and mineral. | No bench in top soil as no overburden available in the lease. Separate benches is maintained in the mineral | - |
| 2c | Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio MT. As per the MP no OB generation during 2022-23. | 0 | 0 | No overburden |
| 2d | Quantity of topsoil generation in m ³ | 37000 m ³ Location: SM T Block | 0 | Development could not to be done due to no new land acquired due to local issues thus no top soil generated. |
| 2e | Quantity of overburden generation in m3 | No overburden removal proposed in mining plan | No overburden extracted | - |
| 2f | General remarks of inspecting officer on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc. | Lease area developed by mining pits, Limestone is used for captive soda ash & cement plant | | |

3.0 Exploitation

| SN | Item | Proposals (2022-23) | Actual work (2022-23) | Remarks |
|----|--|--|---|--|
| 3a | Number of pits proposed for production | 08 pits are proposed for production SM Pits-1,Block – | 6 pits are utilised for production SM Pits-1,Block | SM Block BHK and N Block and extent of T Block is not operated |

1/20566/2023

| | | | | |
|----|--|---|---|---|
| | | N,M,T,S,S2,BHK & Conventional Pit 2 | -,M,T,S,S2 & Conventional Pit 2 | due to land acquisition issue. |
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | FY 22-23: Limestone: 4.68 Marl: 0.52 Total: 5.20 Million tonnes FY 23-24: Limestone: 4.71 Marl: 0.523 Total: 5.23 Million tonnes | FY 22-23: 4.59 million Tonnes Limestone: 4.13 Marl: 0.45 Total: 4.59 Million tonnes FY 23-24(up to July23): Limestone: 1.45 Marl:0.16 Total 1.61 Million tonnes | Production impacted due to restoration of Jetty (Tauktae) which affected despatches thus affecting Mine Production. Full production was resumed Aug-22. |
| 3c | Recovery of saleable/usable mineral from ROM production | 100 % utilisation of ROM | 100% ROM is utilised | Zero mineral waste mining is carried out |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | No rejection is given | No rejection | Zero mineral waste mining is carried out without any rejection. |
| 3e | Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared | Not applicable | Not applicable | Zero mineral waste mining is carried out without any rejection. |
| 3f | Quantity of sub-grade mineral generation | Not applicable | Not applicable | Zero mineral waste mining is carried out without any rejection. |
| 3g | Grade of sub-grade mineral generation | Not applicable | Not applicable | Zero mineral waste mining is carried out without any rejection. |
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Not applicable | Not applicable | Zero mineral waste mining is carried out without any rejection. |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject | No beneficiation is proposed in the mining plan | - | Zero mineral waste mining is carried out without any rejection. |
| 3j | Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches | Drilling and blasting proposed in Pit-1 & Pit-2. Remaining blocks operated by surface miner. | Drilling and blasting is carried out only in Pit-1 & Pit-2. Remaining blocks operated through Surface miners | Both conventional & non-conventional method adopted with Conv – 13.86 LMT Non- Conv – 32.09 LMT |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Surface Miner, Excavator, Dumper, Drill, Dozer is proposed | As per Proposal, Surface Miner, Excavator, Dumper, Drill and Dozer is used | , Surface Miner, Excavator, Dumper, Drill and Dozer is used |
| 3l | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | Height of the benches are proposed 4 to 8 mtr | As per Proposal Mineral benches are maintained 4 to 8 mtr | No overburden benches as topsoil thickness is about avg. 0.5 mtr. |
| 3m | Total area covered under excavation/pits. Annexure. Attached. | 96.89 Ha. is proposed during the year as per MP(2022-23) | 84.17Ha is utilised in the year | Area is less utilized as production impacted due to Jetty restoration & due to land |

1/20566/2023

| | | | | |
|----|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | acquisition/possession issues. |
| 3n | Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year | 0 | 0 | - |
| 3o | Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year. H8 | Pit-Waste /Reject Other | Area put to use as on 01.04.2023 Pit-596.40 ha Reclaimed Area 31.08 ha Top Soil 0.64 ha. Occupied by plant, buildings& roads :- <u>8.010 Ha.</u> Afforestation: <u>27.92 Ha.</u> | Area is less utilized as production impacted due to Jetty restoration & due to land acquisition/possession issues. |
| 3p | Production of ROM mineral during last five-year period, as applicable | Proposal given in Mining Plan (Tonne) Yr-2022-23 -5201356 Yr-2021-22 -5245022 Yr-2020-21 – 7850097 Yr-2019-20 – 7850534 Yr-2018-19 – 7850777 | Actual production (tonne) Yr-2022-23-4595196 Yr-2021-22- 3161116 Yr-2020-21 - 3791685 Yr-2019-20 – 4292828 Yr-2018-19 – 4767393 | Limestone consumption from Captive Mines is reduced to 70% due to use of sweetener. Increased procurement of 30% Domestic & imported sweetener to increase the mines life by consuming the low-grade material (marl), which is present in the bottom benches. Mines supplying the material of 78 LSF and plant needs 100 LSF. Difference of quality is fulfilled by purchased sweetener. Due to this production from mines is reduced. |
| 3q | General remarks of inspecting officer on method of mining etc. | Mechanised surface mining method with using Surface miner, HEMM & tipper combination. | | |

4.0 Solid Waste Management-Dumping

| SN | Item | Proposals (2022-23) | Actual work (2022-23) | Remarks |
|----|---|---|---|---------------------------------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB & mineral reject (Rule 32, 33) Others – 7.5 meter Green belt development | Topsoil/OB/Mineral reject- 0 Others – 7.5 meter Green belt development - Location : S2 Block | Topsoil/OB/Mineral reject- 0 Others – 7.5 meter Green belt development Location : S2 and T Block | - |
| 4b | Location of topsoil, OB & mineral reject dumps | No OB or reject dumps are proposed. | No OB or reject dumps are available in mines. | Zero waste mining. |
| 4c | Number of dumps within lease area and outside lease area | No proposal of dumps in the year 2022-23 | No dumps made during the year 2022-23 | |
| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Not applicable | Not applicable | No OB or rejects dumps in mines |
| 4e | Number of active & alive dumps | Not applicable | Not applicable | No OB or rejects dumps |

1/20566/2023

| | | | | |
|----|--|--|----------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | | in mines |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps | Not applicable | Not applicable | No OB or rejects dumps in mines |
| 4g | Number of dumps stabilised | Not applicable | Not applicable | No OB or rejects dumps in mines |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | No dumps in mines and no retaining wall or garland drains proposed | - | No OB or rejects dumps in mines |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dump | Not applicable | Not applicable | No OB or rejects dumps in mines |
| 4j | Number of settling ponds | Not applicable | Not applicable | No OB or rejects dumps in mines |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer | No OB or rejects dumps in mines | | |

5.0 Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

| SN | Item | Proposals (2022-23) | Actual work (2022-23) | Remarks |
|----|--|--|---|--|
| 5a | Status on part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling | No backfilling is proposed during the year | No backfilling is done during the year. | |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | NA | NA | |
| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 32) | NA | NA | |
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated | No proposal given for the year 2022-23. | - | Total 31.08 Ha area reclaimed & rehabilitated , Around 1.50 Ha area is afforested by planting 6524 out of 3358 new area and 3166 is refiling sampling during the year 2022-23. |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officer on backfilling, reclamation etc | There are no OB/Waste generation. Hence no backfilling & reclamation proposed & not carried out. | | |

6.0 Progressive Mine Closure Plan

| SN | Item | Proposals (2022-23) | Actual work (2022-23) | Remarks |
|----|--|---|---|---|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly - Rule 26(2). Details should be given in the format as given in Annexure-20. | Yearwise details are given in the mining plan | Annual report submitted vide letter no. UTCL/GCW/KLM/2023-24/200dated 29.06.2023 as per Rule 23(E)2 in the prescribed format. | Status given in annual report against the proposal given in PMCP. |
| 6b | Management of worked/mined out benches | No proposal given (PMCP Annual report) | - | |

1/20566/2023

| | | | | |
|----|---|---|---|--|
| | i) Area available for rehabilitation (ha) | | | |
| 6c | Afforestation done (ha) | Proposed 1.50 Ha. Location: S2 | Plantation carried out in 1.50 Ha. | |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | Proposed 3000 saplings Location: S2 Block | 6524 saplings are planted during the year 2022-23, Location : S2, S, T Block | Cassia Seamea species planted. |
| 6e | Cumulative no. of plants | No data given in mining plan | Due to cyclone Tauktae May 21 almost 50% plantation had been lost. | |
| 6f | Any other specific method of rehabilitation | No proposal given | No other method adopted | |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch & care during the year | No proposal for the year 2022-23 | Rs. 23.95lakhs is spent for plantation and after care expenses during the year 2022-23. | Three years' contract is being awarded for plantation and after care. |
| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L X B X D) | Nil – No backfilling proposed during the year | No backfilling is done during the year. | In Mining, area/mineral is not fully extracted. Hence back filling is not done. |
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling ii) Void filled by waste/tailings | Nil – No backfilling proposed during the year | No backfilling is done during the year. | In Mining, area/mineral is not fully extracted. Hence back filling is not done.. |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling iii) Afforestation on the backfilled area | No proposal on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling. No afforestation on backfilled area. | - | Greenbelt development along lease boundary |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | No proposal given for the year 2022-23 | No rehabilitation during the year 2022-23 | |
| 6l | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling v) Any other specific means | No other proposal given in mining plan | No other method adopted for reclamation | |
| 6m | Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease i) Afforestation | No proposal given for the year 2022-23 | Afforestation done on lease boundary | No waste land available in the ML. |
| 6n | Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease ii) Area rehabilitated (ha) | No proposal given for the year 2022-23 | No area rehabilitated | No waste land available in the ML. |

1/20566/2023

| | | | | |
|----|--|---|---|--|
| 6o | Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease iii) Method of rehabilitation | No proposal given for the year 2022-23 | No area rehabilitated | No waste land available in the ML. |
| 6p | Compliance of Environmental monitoring (core zone & buffer zone) | Monitoring of Ambient air quality, water quality, noise level survey and ground vibration is given in mining plan | Environment monitoring carried out by external Govt authorised agency, 1) Fortnightly air monitoring 2) Monthly surface water sampling 3) Quarterly ground water monitoring 4) Quarterly noise levels 5) Each and every blast vibration recording is carried out by own seismograph equipment. | All the monitoring values are within the prescribed limits |
| 6q | General remarks of inspecting officer on PMCP compliance & progressive | PMCP report is submitted with in stipulated time. | | |

7.0 Mineral Conservation

| SN | Item | Proposals (2022-23) | Actual work (2022-23) | Remarks |
|----|--|--|---|--|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | FY 22-23: Limestone: 4.68 Million Tonne Marl: 0.52 Million Tonne Total: 5.20 Million tonnes | FY 22-23: Limestone: 4.14 Million Tonne Marl: 0.45 Million Tonne Total: 4.59 Million tonnes | Production impacted due to restoration of Jetty (Tauktae) which affected despatches thus affecting Mine Production. . Full production was resumed Aug-22. |
| 7b | Method of grade-wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical | No sorting is proposed in mining pan | No mineral sorting is done. 100% mineral extracted by mechanised method which is crushed directly and transferred to cement plant. | Marl intermixed with limestone and cannot be segregated. 100% utilised by blending with high grade |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines | No sorting is proposed in mining pan | No mineral sorting is done. 100% mineral extracted by mechanised method is crushed directly and transferred to cement plant. | Marl intermixed with limestone and cannot be segregated. 100% utilised by blending with high grade |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines | No beneficiation is proposed in the mining plan | No beneficiation is carried out | Suitable blending is carried out by mixing high grade material of top benches with low grade material of bottom benches to conserve the mineral and utilise 100% without rejection. Also, to meet the quality of plant requirement, high grade |

1/20566/2023

| | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | domestic sweetener and imported sweetener is purchased. |
| 7e | General remarks of inspecting officer on Mineral conservation & beneficiation issues | | | |

8.0 Environment

| SN | Item | Proposals (2022-23) | Actual work (2022-23) | Remarks |
|----|---|---|---|--|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | In mining plan it is proposed that Top soil to be utilised concurrently for plantation. | Top soil is used concurrently for afforestation purpose on lease boundary. | |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | No proposal given in mining plan | No top soil stored during the year 2022-23 | |
| 8c | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | No dumps proposed in mining plan for Overburden or Mineral Rejects | No dumps available in mines for overburden or mineral rejects. | No overburden or rejects available in mines. Zero waste mining is adopted by suitable blending |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | No proposal in mining plan | - | No waste or rejects in mines. Hence it is not applicable. |
| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Yearwise proposal given in mining plan by backfilling and afforestation. Proposed area of 1.50 ha. | Every year reclamation and rehabilitation carried out by plantation in phased manner as per the proposal. During the year 2022-23 about 1.5 ha area is planted. | No dumps available in mines. |
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 41) | Baseline information given in mining plan as the area consist of agricultural lands and also waste rocky exposed areas. | No existence of major plantation in the area. The mining lands consist of private agricultural area and Govt waste lands. Since the inception of mines about 128579saplings planted. | Survival rate of plantations is about 75.9 %. |
| 8g | Survival rate | No details given in mining plan | About 75.9.2% survival rate in the year 2022-23 | 3-year contract awarded for after care and guarding of plants. |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | Water tankers is proposed for water sprinkling on roads | 3 dedicated water tankers with capacity of 10KL is used continuously for dust suppression on haul roads | Rain water harvested at mines sump is utilised. |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines are | | | |

1/20566/2023

9.0 Compliance of Rule 45

| S.N | Item | COMMENTS | Remarks |
|-----|--|---|--------------|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | Monthly Return Submitted up to- 09/09/2023 Annual Return submitted up to- 31/06/2023 | No deviation |

| S.N | Item | Details GIVEN in A.R. | Observation of I/ Officer | Remarks |
|-----|---|--|---|--|
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | Details given in annual return, Mr.Puspendra Singh Rao, Mining Engineer, Mr.Shiv Kumar Gupta , Geologist Mr. Rajesh Thakare, Manager | M.R. & A.R. submitted with in time for the year 2021-22 | Nil |
| 9c | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | Details of land use pattern is given as on 01.04.2023, Covered under current workings: 565.32 Ha. Reclaimed area: 31.08 Ha. Plant building, roads: 8.01 Ha. Top Soil storage: 0.64 Ha. Afforestation: 25.32 | Details are given in Annual Return | Nil |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | Afforestation details area given as Within lease area: 6524 saplings planted with 75.75% survival | Details are given in Annual Return | Nil |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & quantity) | No mineral rejects given in annual return | Details are given in Annual Return | No mineral rejects available in mines. 100% of mineral utilised with proper blending. |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | No stock maintained as on 31.03.2023 | Details are given in Annual Return | Nil |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production cost | Ex. Mine Price and production cost of Rs. 211 Metric Tonne is given in Annual Return. It includes statutory fees such as Royalty, DMF & NMET also. | Details are given in Annual Return | No sales is done. Mineral is used for captive purpose for manufacturing of cement. Hence no sale value is given. |
| 9i | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | Fixed assets like, Machinery, Building and land details along with value is given in annual return | Details are given in Annual Return | Nil |
| 9k | Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries | Mining Machinery details are given in mining plan | Details are given in Annual Return | As per the proposal of mining plan mining machinery is deployed. |

I/20566/2023

10. Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of earlier violation pointed out: Presently mine was inspected for MCDR/Star Rating & Online star rating evaluation done (5Star). Presently no significant violation observed & pointed out.